



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/823,580 | 03/31/2001 | Joshua T. Goodman | 1018.119US1 | 6816 |
| 27366 | 7590 02/02/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | CHAMPLIN (MICRO | WOZNIAK | WOZNIAK, JAMES S | |
| SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2655 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/823,580 | GOODMAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | James S. Wozniak | 2655 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| | THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | and the table of table o | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the slaim(s) is (assettle to be settle to be s | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25 and 28-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary | | | | | | |
| and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitation regarding a method for finding non-corresponding words in relation to a misspelled word from a dictionary based on a cost has not been previously claimed and would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior position of record is maintained. Also, in response to the applicant's arguments that the prior art of record fails to teach spell checking and determining word candidates based on a misspelled word (Amendment, Pages 13-14), the examiner notes the Gilai et al reference (U.S. Patent: 6,018,736) includes the use of a spelling mistake cost when determining word candidates in a spell guessing process (Col. 22, Lines 7-10; Col. 6, Lines 5-15; and Col. 7, Lines 22-31). In reponse to the applicant's argument regarding a lack of motivation for combining the prior art of record (Amendment, Page 14), the examiner notes that the motivation is found in the references themselves as is noted in the prior office action (pages 4-5).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER